Case 1:08-cv-00704-AKH	Document 1	Filed 01/07/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
CURT KELLINGER AND PENNY KELLINGER	R	DOCKET NO.	
Plair	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHOOMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	ndants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvie 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			•
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth, a	erein in addition e are marked wi	n to those paragraphs ith an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, CURT KELLINGER A	ND PENNY K	ELLINGER, by his/her	r/their attorneys WORBY

BY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

# I. PARTIES

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

nd a citizen		*	"Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual Brook, NJ 07663-0000.	ruur
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	_•

Cas	se 1:08-cv-00704-AKH Document	1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11
	g at 85 N. Leswing Avenue, Saddle Bro the Injured Plaintiff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times h CURT KELLINGER, and brin injuries sustained by her husbar	ok, NJ 07663-0000, and has the following derein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ags this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the had (his wife), Plaintiff CURT KELLINGER.
of New York	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/11/2 and New Jersey as a Police Officer at:	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Port Authority
I	Please be as specific as possible when fi	ling in the following dates and locations
The World	Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
Location(s) (i	.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
Approximatel	oout <u>9/11/2001</u> until <u>9/11/2001</u> ; y <u>12</u> hours per day; for y <u>1</u> days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.  Description:  Description:
☐ The New `	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	oout until, y hours per day; for y days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
□ The Fresh	Kills Landfill	From on or about;
From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	y hours per day; for y days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed n above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injur	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	$\square$ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ OTHER:

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:08-cv-00704-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, box jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial	, ,		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

#### Case 1:08-cv-00704-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:	<b>V</b>	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 11/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Respiratory Injury: Cough; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 11/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 11/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:	<b>▽</b>	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 11/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

*NOTE:* The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Grou	and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable ages:
	Pain and suffering
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life
<b>√</b>	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
✓	Other:  ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 3, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Curt Kellinger and Penny Kellinger

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
January 3, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket N	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	CURT KELLINGER (AND WIFE, PENNY KELLINGER),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
====	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===== <b>I</b>	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
С	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
Γ	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,  WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP